App F IX-4

1935.
(Mysore)

File No. 198-3

Serial Nos. 1-6

Previous References

Mys 178 · 29 f-11

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for September

SUBJECT.

Enfg of Sri Veerabhadra Swami at Kadlukotamahalli Maddur T.[illegible] and its inam lands

6. The Mysore Commissioner
" Revenue Commissioner
" Chief Secy
" Commissioner for Comm & Ind

fl 6

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8 36

No. 382-87 f 13-9-35.

Sanction of grant of inam lands

D.No. 722. Management of the temple of Sri Virabhadraswami at Kadakothanahalli Madakasira Tk & its Inam lands

General and Revenue Secretariat | F/43 File No. 198 of 1935-6 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Letter no C 904/34-35 dt 27-12-1934 from the Mazrai Commissioner recommending that the temple of Sri Virabhadraswami at Kadakothanahalli alias Hadakothanahalli alias Kadakothanahalli in Madakasira taluk together with all its endowed properties may be taken under Government management and the Deputy Commissioner requested to appoint a Committee of Dharmadarsis and sanction a scale—

(1) The Tahsildar of Kadakothanahalli stated in his Mahazar dt 16.3.33 that the temple of Sri Virabhadraswami is in disrepair & that it is in need of a repaired condition, that the mud adjacent wall has crumbled & fallen down & the base site remaining over there & that Gangadharam ceased long ago— It is evident from this that Puttaswami who is stated to be claiming the management of the temple & the lands has paid no attention to the affairs of the temple. The said manager has also to account for the income from 12 Varadas of lands given for Gangadharam purposes. for the period from which such a question arised— Regarding the remaining [illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7 35

l is not usual to recognise Hereditary
in Devadaya Inam lands.

2) Subject for orders. ——— 2

Cy 3/7 3) Mr. K. Puttasami is only the deputed
managers for the temple and the matt,
and as such the lands granted for
meeting the expenditure of the temple
and Gnanaradhane in the matt are
liable to resumption by Govt., for
mismanagement of the affairs of the
institution under section 18 of the
Religious and Charitable Institutions
Regulation. Mr. Puttasami claims that
he has only the right to hereditary managership
of the institutions. and not he does not
claim to be the owner of the inam lands.
The Sannad ~~does not~~ states that the
lands were granted for the maintenance
of the temple and the matt. Even if the claim
of Mr. Puttasami's for the hereditary managership
of the temple is recognized by Govt., it will not
prevent them from resuming it under Sec. 18
of the Religious and Charitable Institns. Regulation
~~Hence~~ the lands granted for Gnanaradhane
2 in the matt, are liable to resumption

Serial No.

under para 275 of the Revenue Manual, as the purpose for which the inam was granted, viz., Gnanaradhana, is not being carried on, since the matt is not in existence.

7.2.36.

4) The above office notes are for perusal. The recommendation of the D.C. contd in para 9 of the Pet "that the temple together with all its endowed properties may be taken under its first Management & the D.C requested to Appoint a Committee of Dharmadarsis of Saivaite a Sect" may be approved in the Act explained.

5) As regards tenants further reports from the D.C. may be awaited.

8/2/36

The Pet does not mention under which section

Gen: Secy) 6) Put 17/2

17 FEB 1936

Asst Member) 7) A Under what section of Regn? 8) Are all the requirements of the law satisfied? A little more examn of such cases is necessary before sanction

21/2

WD 03594—GPR—30,000—13 7 35

10) As for the genuineness of the Sannad of 19-11-1826 a photo copy of which is found among the records The Vernacular records have been separately called for putting up an answer from the Shahmal Diary - ×A

11) Mention is made of Manager Somam Pattan in the Register sheet no 160 - Malvalli T.K Arasinakere Sannad. to Kumanahalli Village - from which it is clear that the Sevaid lands referred to in the P.U.D case meant for the upkeep of the temple, he the name being mentioned therein & no lands being granted for ~~the~~ maintenance of the temple as stated in the Photo Copy of The Sannad produced by Mr K. Puttaswamie referred to in the P.U.D - ××.

12) D/o Calling for information regarding name & Address is Asked -

(N 25-7-33) the lands were granted for ~~the~~ maintenance of the temple and Gana-radhane in the matt. (Vide P.U.D.J. Mr. K. Puttaswami claims that he has only the right to hereditary managership of the institution. (Vide his petition dated 1st July 1933). The Sub-Dvn. officer reports G P

Serial No.

P

that the affairs of the temple are being mismanaged. The Vahivatdar is not maintaining accounts of income and expenditure of the institution. He is reported to be living at Mysore and is not affording facilities to the villagers to conduct Sevas and Utsavas in the temple. The temple is reported to be in need of repairs. Some of the lands belonging to it are reported to have been alienated. As there is mis-management, Govt. can take the management of the institution into their hands under section 18 of the Religeous and Charitable Institutions Regulation. This section also empowers Govt. to make suitable arrangements for the management of the institution such as appointment of Dharmadarsis, sanctioning a scale.

Out of the inam lands referred above, 12 varahas of land were granted for gamaradhane in the matt. (Vide P.H.D). As the matt has ceased to exist, the inam lands ~~other inam lands~~ granted to it are liable to resumption, as the condition

WD 05594—GPB—30,000—18-7 35

of the grant are not ~~fulfilled~~ fulfilled. (Vide page 237 of the Mugrai manual and para 275 of the Revenue manual). The Govt. seem to be empowered to issue such orders under Sec. 235 of the Land Revenue Code. The Revenue Comr. may, if approved, be requested to take action in the Revenue dept. to resume the inam lands granted for Gnanarathnam, as the service for which they were granted is not being performed. ~~There~~ There seems to be no necessity to call for any additional information from the Mugrai Comr. ~~Submitted~~ submitted with reference to the ~~above~~ above members orders contained in para 7 of the notes.

15) Draft approved
25/2

No 1216 of 29.2.36 from Mugrai Commissioner calling for additional information.

VP No. 39 of 26.2.36. 722

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mis. File No. — of 193 5-6 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No. 2 Urgent

(b) Unusual Records w.e to this forth with for purpose of D.No. 722 of 35-36 extract of Shanal Diary about the grant of lands for the support of Sri Virabhadra Devara Temple - Kothamahalli Mallalli Tk & for Gundashana in the hand — (Vide Sanad of 19.11.1826 (ಸನದು ನಂ 11 ರಂತೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 19-11-1826) Q.S If the above is available, a copy 25/- Receipt etc.

FR No:- 39 of 26.2.36.

26/2/36 Page 15 of Shah Amal Dairy of ಶ್ರೀಶಾಲಿವಾಹನ ಶಕ ೧೭೪೮ corresponding to 19.11.1826 contains an entry of order issued to Maddur Amildar Narasimhaiah granting 36 Varahas of land for the benefit of Veerabhadra Temple and mutt in Kothamahally A formal requisition may be sent for Shah Amal Dairy (Pages 1-26) of Vijaya Year Karthika month

28/2/36 To the Superintendent Mug for nec complimants

29-2-36

Letter no C. 904 /36-35 of 18.1.1937 from the Mysore Commissioner submitting under the Circumstances set forth therein that the management of Sri Virabhadraswami temple and the temple Inam lands may be [illegible]

NOTE — Contn. Sheet.

WD 04591—GPB—30,000—18-7 35

Veerabadraswamy temple at Hadakotana halli — Madras Temple Management Act of

Mysore File No. 198 of 1933-34 | General and Revenue Secretariat

by Government and the lands allowed to the lessees for fairly long periods of time.

14) The S.D.O's report of 3-1-35 (x) explains clearly the circumstances under which the Institution is proposed to be taken under the Govt. Management. x 7

15) The information furnished in the P.H.D. note dated 28-12-34 refers to the minutes in paras 4 & 5 supra. The Dharmadarsis are appointed under Sec 6 of the Regulation & the temple has become defunct, & only the temple & the endowed property are now to be managed by Govt. x Page 3 of the file

16) 1/3 of the Inam lands was granted for Gauna & share in the mettu, & for the period this is now done in the temple it is respectfully submitted that the Valivartha is responsible to make good the yield from lands for the period of non-service. If agreed, the D.C. will be asked separately to pursue this & submit the report for orders —

In the meanwhile the proposal of the D.C. as per P.H.D. note may be accepted — subject to orders —

Gl 28/1 —

23. There is nothing in the

Serial No.

connected records sent by the Mungrai Comr. to show that the temple was under the control of the Mungrai dept. But the present Vahivatdar Mr. K. Puttaswami himself claims only the right to the hereditary managership of the institution. (Vide his petition dated 1st July 1933). The inam lands were granted for the temple and Gnanadhana in the matt, which is now defunct. (Vide Mungrai Comr's letter No. C.904 dated 21st Dec. 1935 and the Inam Settlement Register). The Mungrai Comr. supports the proposal of the A.D.C., Mandya, to take over the management of the temple under sections 4 and 5 of the Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation and to appoint Dharmadarsis under Sec. 6 of the Regulation. But sections 4 and 5 do not seem to empower Govt. to take over the management of an institution and its property. It is section 18 of the Regulation that enables Govt. to assume the management of

Q

P. 4. Dr.

* The reverse of flag Y

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0594—GPB—30,000—13-7 35

Re. Management by Sri Varadaraja Perumal temple at Kadaladi Mandapalli.

Mercy File No. 196 of 1935 — General and Secretariat

Charitable institution in ~~case~~ ~~of~~ mismanagement
~~its case~~ is mismanagement ~~management~~ in this case
~~management~~ Govt. can assume management of the temple and its property under Sec. 15 of the Regulation. The Madurai Comm. gives details of mismanagement in his letter no. C. 902 dated 21st Dec. 1935. The Law section may however be consulted as regards the action of the Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation under which action has to be taken to assume by Govt. to assume management of the temple.

24. Out of the inam lands 12 varahas of lands were granted for Gnanavidhana in the defunct mutt. to the conditions of the grant are not being fulfilled in respect of these lands, they are liable to resumption. (Vide page 237 of the Madurai manual and para 275 of the Revenue manual). Submitted with reference to the Govt. member's orders contained in paras 7 and 8 of the notes.

29.1.37.

Mr. Henry draw his (illegible)

2.) 2/2/37

17/

Law

BMR

30.1.37

Y.R. 21.

Y.R. 21.

Ys. 2

(11)

ue

Muz File No. 198 of 1935. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

26) Para 2 of P.W.D.I refers to a photographic copy of the Sannad produced by Mr Puttaswami. I do not find any such copy in the file. It is necessary to examine the Sannad to express an opinion whether Mr Puttaswamy's Contention is tenable. The copy of the Sannad may kindly be furnished.

Asst. Secy (Muz - Bor), W.C.

BKR 13.2.37

27) Records were gone through and through; the photographic copy of the Sannad referred to above is not found among those of it was in the records when they were first received here & it was indicated by flag A. Letter is issued to call the required Asst Collector for the Sannad to produce.

GS 28/29.6.37.

Inv. 2 1/6/37

4. No 16779 29.6.1937 to the Muzrai Commissioner Calling for additional records —

from 29/6

1/7

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 0417 -GPS—30,000-8 9 36

Mr. K.V. Management of Sri Kadalakkudi Kalli - Madurai

Mdrs File No. 19A of 1935.

Serial No.

Letter No. C 904/34-35 dt 17-7-37 from the Mgr. Sri Courts Commissioner forwarding the records called for on the subject.

30 Photographs (City of the Samadhi) referred to in the Law Secretary's note in para 26 supra is perhaps -

31 If agreed, the file may be referred to Law Secy. — 21/7/37

32) Law 21/7/37

Gs 20/7/37

33 The temple in dispute is admittedly a Mutt Sthanam as a Devadaya inam has been granted to it. Ordinarily except as a Dharmadarsi, no other right of management in a private individual, of a Mutt Sthanam is recognised in the Regulation, and an exclusive right of management of a Mutt Sthanam in a private individual cannot exist in view of the express provisions of Chapter II. These points have been clearly discussed in the case reported as Nagappa Chari v. Govt of Mysore (9 Mysore Law Journal 164). That case is practically on all fours with

× B.

the present case. In that case the plaintiff contended that by virtue of a sannad dated 21.5.1826 he had the power of exclusive management. On an examination of the sannad the High Court held that the sannad also did not appear to confer any such right of exclusive management. In the present case also, Mr Puttaswami admits that the lands have been dedicated as an inam to the Temple but claims the right of management on the strength of a sannad dated 19.11.1826. A careful examination of the sannad does not vest any such right of exclusive management in him; and as already remarked, in view of the express provisions of Chapter II, no private individual can have the right of management of a religious institution. It is therefore open to the Government to take over the management of the institution and the inam lands.

(see his petition dated 1.7.33)

34) Regarding the section of the Regulation under which to proceed, I find that it has been suggested in paras 13 and 23 of the notes that action should be taken under Section 18. But that section appears in Chapter III relating

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—8 9-36

Re: Management of Sri Virabhadra Swami temple at Kudalchavadi Madurai

Mng. File No. 198 of 1935. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

the Control and management of inshrines other than Mngrai inshrines, and by context also, that section seems to relate the procedure to be adopted by Government when they find that circumstances exist to take over the management religious and Charitable inshrines other than Mngrai Institutions. In my opinion that section is inapplicable to present case.

3(5) Kind reference is invited to paragraph 2 of the Mngrai Commissioner's letter dated 18.1.37 (flag K). I agree with the views expressed therein and would also support the use of section 10.

BNR
31.7.37

Asst. Secy
Mng. Br
W C

36) The above Note is for favour of submission to Members minute at para 7. As proposed and therein the institution being a Nadar institution, necessary action can be taken under Sec 63 of the Act and to appoint Dharmakartas to the institution recommended by them. No order of Govt

management of Sri Vaidhyanathaswami
Temple at Kadalangudi
managed by the Dharmakartha,

venue at Mdy File No. 198 of 193 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

under Sec. 18 seems necessary

Gen: Secy 37) Paragraphs 33—35 of the notes by the Asst Secy Law may for personal work reference to Member's minute in paragraph 7 above

38) The temple being a mirasi institution it is already under the management of Govt - There is no need, strictly speaking, to take it under management.

39) The proposal of the mirasi dar- amounts to taking it back from the control of Mr Pattasami who has been sole manager of the temple, and arranging for its affairs as in any other ordinary mirasi institution, giving it a committee of Dharmakartas, and fixed a scale of expenditure. This may be effected

First Member

40) JPL

14/8

2 AUG. 1937 SECRETARY

DEWAN'S OFFICE 5 AUG 1937 No. 924

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

management of Vira bhadra swamy
temple at Kodumbanatham

File No. 98 of 1935

General and Re
Secretaria

GENERAL S

24 AUG.
1189

20.8.37

Gen: Secy

Lr
24/8

Lr
W/S

Go no 382 — s — 9 13.9.37 Sanctioning the recommendations of the Executive Officer Communicated in Pls 1 to 3.

B
M

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Requisition for papers

Section

A

B

Filed

Deposit No.

File No.

Serial No.

To be put up Diary No. 722 of 1935-36

Signature

Branch

Section

Date 29.1.1936

WD 08606—GPB—150 books of 100 pages each—12 7-35

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, tc., not intended for permanent record.]

Refer:-
V. R. No 39 of — 26. 2. 36.
Shah Amal Diary (PP1-21)
may kindly be retained by
the Vernacular records
& the requisition slip returned
GS
14. 9. 37 Vernacular Records
(w-c).

Shah-amal has been restored and the requisition slip returned herewith
Asst.
15/9/37

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Register of "Suspend C" cases of the Branch.

Register No. 1	Date of receipt 2	File and Sl. No 3	Brief subject of the file 4	Reason why it is included in this list 5	Remarks 6

GRS 16

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 21st December 1935.



FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
~~N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

TO

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., I.A.S.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*



SIR,

Management of the temple of Sri Veerabhadra Swami at Kadukothanahalli, Kaddur Taluk, and its inam lands.

I have the honor to forward herewith in original for reference and return correspondence ending with letter No.66/35-36 dated 17th September 1935 from the Director of Land Records re: the above, and to state as follows:-

2. Inam lands of the value of 36 varahas now comprising of the marginally noted S.Nos. were granted for the support of the temple and Ganaradhane in a Mutt at the place under the authority of the Nirup dated 19th November 1826. Twenty-four varahas worth of lands were meant for the former and the remaining twelve varahas for the latter. The Mutt is now long defunct. One Mr. I. Puttasami is the Manager of the temple and the lands are now in the possession of the tenants who claim rights of kadim tenancy. The Sub Division Officer of Mandya inspected the temple and made enquiries. He states that the management of the lands under the control of the Kulavatdar is not satisfactory,

S.No.	Kind.	Extent. A. G.	Assessment.
69	wet	1 9	Rs 4-8-0
211	dry	1 10	" 1-4-0
214		1 39	" 1-4-0
264		2 10	" 1-8-0
34		7 0	" 5-8-0
128		7 31	" 4-0-0
129		10 10	" 5-0-0
131		14 14	" 10-0-0
203		9 27	" 6-8-0
208		2 3	" 1-8-0
216		7 15	" 5-0-0
217		9 36	" 7-0-0
		75 4	Rs 53-0-0

that he is living in Mysore and is not affording facilities to the villagers to conduct their sevas and utsavas; that the temple requires immediate repairs and that the management may be taken under the control of Government. The Deputy Commissioner agreeing with the Sub Division Officer recommends the assumption of management of all the lands, the question of kadim tenancy right set up by the tenants being got examined by the Govern ent Advocate.

2. Mr. Puttasami contends that he has a hereditary right of management, by virtue of a sannad of 1826 from which he cannot be ousted, and has produced a photograph copy of the said sannad. But no such right has been recognised in the Inam Settlement. The Director of Land Records who was consulted in the matter, states that the sannad was not produced and the claim was not made at the time of the inam enquiry. It was not made even subsequently. The claim cannot therefore, be recognised. But even if it is assumed that Puttasami is a hereditary Manager, it is clear from the Sub Division Officer's report that the management leaves much to be desired, and Government have a right in the circumstances, to assume management of the temple and its endowments

3. I therefore recommend that the temple together with all its endowed properties may be taken under Government management and the Deputy Commissioner requested to appoint a Committee of Dharmadarsis and sanction a scale.

4. As regards tenants, it is on record that they had, in 1929 or so, agreed to pay Re.1/- extra per acre of land in their possession. The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to instruct the local officers to persuade the tenants and make them agree to this payment, if possible.

5. The entire file of vernacular papers is enclosed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M V Anantarame
Muzrai Commissioner.

ROK

VERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 29th February *193*6

No. 1216/Rev 198-35-2
Land Records

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C.904/34-35 dated 27th December 1935 submitting proposals regarding the management of the temple of Sri Veerabhadra Swami at Kadukothanahalli, Maddur Taluk and its inam lands, I am directed to request you to be so good as to state under which section of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation VII of 1927 you propose the assumption of the management of the said temple with its lands by Government and whether all the requirements of law are satisfied to take up the management. Further, I am to enquire whether in your opinion the manager has not mismanaged the properties to the extent of allowing the ryots to claim tenancy rights in respect of these devadaya inam lands. The records are returned herewith for reference and re-transmission with your kind reply at a very early date.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Govt.

CT .....

.....

.....

Section Mag

File No. 198-35

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1216
2nd Revised

To

The M.C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir,

With reference to your letter No C 904/34 S5 of 27/11/35 submitting proposals re: the management of the temple of Sri Vedabhadra Swami at Kadiri Thanalakki Madakasira & Amaranki lands, I am directed to request you to be so good as to state under which head of the Inspection Report, Chaukada Dasti Rs. Rs 01-7-27 was

Date of issue 29-2-1936

Signed by Mr B. Venkatarama Rao

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 01956—GPB—22,590—12-6-34

propose the assumption of the
Management of the Campe
bello Islands by Govt
& whether the requirement
of law are satisfied to
take up the Management
further. I am to enquire
whether in your opinion
whether the Manager
has not mismanaged the
prospects of the Island
of showing the rights
to claim lumbering rights
in respect of those Savadays
In our lands. The rents
are demanded because
we are Ratepayers
both from Fish & Cattle
as a very large sale
I have &c

C.g.e.74/34-35
Cancls-141 file

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 696. 21. JAN 1937

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE, I L

Bangalore,...18th January ...1937

Muz 198 35-3

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

.....Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq.,.....,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
....General. . ...*Department.*

GENERAL SECT
20 JAN. 1937

SIR,

anagement of the Sri Veerabhadraswami Temple at Kadakothanahalli in the Kadur Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.1216/Muz.198-35-2 dated 28-3-1936 re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.H.735/34-35 dated 14-11-1936 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, giving cover to report dated 09- -1936 submitted by the Sub Division Officer, Nandya, furnishing the information required by Government.

2. The Sub Division Officer states that the temple in question being a Muzrai institution subject to the control of Government under Sections 4 and 5 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation and the Vahivatdar having failed to manage the institution properly, the management is proposed to be assumed by Government in virtue of the inherent powers vested in them under the above sections, Dharmadarsis under Section 6 of the Regulation being appointed to its affairs. I agree with him in this view.

3. As regards the latter portion of your letter under reply, Sub Division Officer states that the Vahivatdar was also enquiring, other matters, to allow the tenants to go to the Revenue Court for their tenancy rights in respect of the lands cultivated by them. As regards the recognition of this claim I am of opinion that it stands on a par with that of the Bandu being allowed in the Nanjanagudu Taluk and the orders passed in Muzrai Appeal

21 8-2-37

of 1929-30 ag be made applicable in this instance also and the tenants allowed to have fairly long leases of these lands on gutta amounts.

4. The entire file of vernacular records is sent herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ag Chamberlain

Inspl Commissioner.

Letter No.H. 785 /34-35 dated 14th November 1936, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Management of the temple of Sri Veerabhadraswamy at Kudukothanahalli, Maddur Taluk and its Inam lands.

With reference to your No.C.304/34-35 dated 17th August 1936, on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith the file of records ending with the Mandya Sub Division Officer's report No.C2. 348/36-37 dated 29th September 1936 furnishing the required information.

" COPY."

M. N Anandachar.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

BR.

TSS.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore 29th June 1937.

No. 1677
From Muz 198-35-4

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Subject: Management of Sri Veerabhadra Swami temple at Kadakothanahalli.

In returning herewith the records received with your letter No. .904/34-35 dated 18th January 1937, I am directed to state that the hotographed copy of the Sannad of 1826 referred to in para 2 of your etter dated 27th December 1935 is not found therein and what is found nong the records is only an ordinary copy. I am to request you to e so good as to forward the same for reference with the entire records earing on the case. It was received with the records sent with your etter No.C.904/34-35 dated 21st December 1935 but was returned to ou with the records relating to the case with this office letter No. 216/Muz.198-35-2 dated 29th February 1936.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government.

TSS.

Appendix F G—1]

28. JUN 1937
GENERAL SECTT.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 198-35

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to ....694.....

No. 1677. Same file

To

The Manager

Subject — Management of Sri Veerabhadra Swami temple at Kasakottamalli Madras Dt.

Date of issue ....29-6-37....

Signed by Mir Safdar Hussain Sri.

In returning herewith the record received vide your letter no C 904/34-35 dt 18.1.37, I am directed to state that the photographed copy of the Sanad of 1826 referred in para 2 of your letter dt 27-12-35 is not found therein & what is found among the records is only an ordinary copy of the

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7 31

orders in the case of 28/6/36 are awaited

the Fauquier records the entire record

bearing on the case. ~~C.P.~~ 9/6 was received with the records ~~which the Merchants Fire Insurance~~ sent with your letter ~~Insurance Co~~ No. C-906 dated 21st Decr 1935 but was returned to you with the records relating to the case with this office letter No. 1216 dated 29th May 1936. I have etc.,

P. 9. 91.

34-35

95

9/6

Ques. 195-35-2

P

2/6/-

Secy. to Govt.,
Gen. Depb.

C 904 Rec'd file.
134-35

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...15th..July.....*1937*.

77

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 82. 20. JUL. 1937 MUZRAI BRANCH

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

K. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., I.A.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General.....*Department,*

Mus 198-35-5

GENERAL SECRETARY. 17 JULY 1937

SIR,

Management of Sri Veerabhadraswamy Temple at Kadakotharahalli, Maddur Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.1677/Muz.198-35 dated 29 June 1937, requesting for the submission of the photoed copy of the Sanad of 1826 referred to in this office letter dated 27-12-1935, I have the honor to forward herewith same.

The connected records received with your letter under reply are returned herewith for kind reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
M. N Anantharaman
for Muzrai Commissioner.

orders of Hon Asst Secy
to Govt
GS 10.9.37

F VI—G 2]

CT .....

.....

.....

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....87.....

Section Mu3

File No. 198-35

Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue.....13.....9.....193

Signed by.....M. S. Venkataramanaiya

Read— Correspondence ending with letter no. C 904/34-35 dt 17.7.37 from the M.C. submitting proposals for the future management of Sri Virabhadraswami temple at Kadakolthanahalli, Maddur Tk, and its Inam lands.

382

Order thereon.—No. 4 The temple of Sri Virabhadraswami at Kadakolthana halli, Maddur Tk, is a Mungaji institution endowed with 24 Varahas worth of Inam lands out of the lands noted in the margin, the remaining 12 Varahas worth of Inam lands being inams for Ganachara

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

S. Nos 69, 211, 214, 264, 34, 128-9, 131, 203, 208, 216 & 217 measuring A. 75-4 and bearing an assessment of Rs 53/-.

TYPING & GEN.

orders of the Asst Secy

GS 10.9.37

in a mill wheel
is defunct. It is reported that
one Mr. K. Puttaswamie, the manager
of the temple, who is living at Mysore
is giving no facilities to the villagers
to conduct their Sevas and Utsavas, that
~~as such~~ the temple building is
allowed to remain in disrepair and that
his management of the
temple lands is unsatisfactory.
In these circumstances the M.C. recommends
that the temple together with the
endowed properties may be taken
under Govt. management & that
a committee of Dharmadarshis may
be appointed and a Scale sanctioned
for the Institution. As regards
the contention of Mr Puttaswamie that
he has a hereditary right of
management by virtue of a Sanad
of 1826, the M.C. reports that no
Such right has been recognised

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. D. App. F. C. 5.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*

at the Inam settlement. But the Inamdar has not produced any such claim was put forward at the time of the Inam enquiry, the Govt proposes that the temple and the property endowed to it may be taken under Govt in virtue of the inherent power vested in them under Sec 40 of the Mysore Rte Inst Regulation Dharmadayas under Sec 6 of the said Regulation being appointed to manage its affairs & to take such steps for the purpose.

Govt observe that the temple being a Muzrai institution it is already under the management of Govt, that the proposal to the Muzrai to take it as Inam lands back from the Inamdar,

continue

Sole manager of the temple and
the management of
arranging for its affairs as in the case of
other ordinary Muzrai ~~Dept~~ institutions
appointing a Committee of
Dharmapdarsis for it and
sanctioning a scale of expenditure
to be incurred in it. The recommendation
of the M.C. is sanctioned

Qwo
10/4

~~Yes~~ Given

To

The H.C. both records
The Comptr.
The R.C.
The Comr. for Settlement
Land Revns
Copy to Rev Pon

GS
23.8.37

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R e a d:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.C.904/34-35 dated 17th July 1937 from the Muzrai Commissioner submitting proposals for the future management of Sri Virabhadraswami temple at Kadukothanahalli, Maidur Taluk and its Inam Lands.

Order No. 382-S/Muz 198-35-6 Bng 14th file

Dated, Bangalore the 11th September 1937.

The temple of Sri Virabhadraswami at Kadukothanahalli, Maidur Taluk, is a Muzrai institution endowed with 24 Varahas worth of Inam lands out of the lands noted in the margin, the remaining 12 Varahas worth of Inam Lands being meant for Ganaradhane in a Mutt in that Village which is defunct. It is reported that one Mr. K. Puttaswami, the manager of the temple, who is living at Mysore is giving no facilities to the villagers to conduct their Pooja and Utsava, that the temple building is allowed to remain in disrepair and that his management of the temple lands is unsatisfactory. In these circumstances, the Muzrai Commissioner recommends that the temple together with the endowed properties may be taken under Government management and that a Committee of Dharmadarsis may be appointed and a scale sanctioned for the Institution. As regards the contention of Mr. Puttaswami that he has a hereditary right of management by virtue of a sanad of 1826, the Muzrai Commissioner reports that no such right has been recognised at the Inam Settlement, as no sanad was produced and as no claim was put forward at the time of the Inam enquiry.

S.Nos. 69, 211, 214, 264, 34, 128-9, 131, 203, 208, 216 and 217 measuring 7b acres 4 guntas and bearing an assessment of Rs.83/-.

Government observe that the temple being a Muzrai Institution it is already under the management of Government, but the

the proposal of the Muzrai Commissioner amounts to taking the institution and its Inam lands back from the control of Mr. Puttaswami who has been sole manager of the temple and arranging for the management of its affairs as in the case of other ordinary Muzrai Institutions appointing a Committee of Dharmadarsis for it and sanctioning a scale of expenditure to be incurred in it. The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner is sanctioned.

General Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner with records.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Commissioner for Settlement and Land Records.